Case: 24-6256, 09/12/2025, DktEntry: 261.1, Page 1 of 2

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## **FILED**

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SEP 12 2025

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: Google Play Store Antitrust Litigation

\_\_\_\_

EPIC GAMES, INC., a Maryland Corporation,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GOOGLE LLC; et al.,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 24-6256

D.C. Nos. 3:21-md-02981-JD 3:20-cv-05671-JD Northern District of California, San Francisco

**ORDER** 

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GOOGLE LLC; et al.,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 24-6274

D.C. No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD Northern District of California, San Francisco Case: 24-6256, 09/12/2025, DktEntry: 261.1, Page 2 of 2

EPIC GAMES, INC., a Maryland Corporation,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GOOGLE LLC; et al.,

Defendants - Appellants.

No. 25-303

D.C. No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD Northern District of California, San Francisco

Before: McKEOWN, FORREST, and SANCHEZ, Circuit Judges.

The panel has unanimously voted to deny Google LLC's petition for rehearing. Judge Forrest and Judge Sanchez have voted to deny the petition for rehearing en banc, and Judge McKeown so recommends. The full court has been advised of the petition for rehearing en banc, and no judge has requested a vote on whether to rehear the matter en banc. Fed. R. App. P. 40.

The petitions for rehearing and rehearing en banc are **DENIED**.