

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

3 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION – COMPLEX CIVIL LITIGATION

4 ATILA CSUPO, ANDREW BURKE, and
5 KERRY HECHT, individually and on behalf of
6 all others similarly situated,

7 Plaintiffs,

8 v.

9 GOOGLE LLC,

10 Defendant.

Case No. 19CV352557

Assigned for all purposes to Dept. 7
Hon. Charles F. Adams

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

Complaint filed: 08/09/2019
First Am. Compl. filed: 08/12/2019
Second Am. Compl. filed: 09/16/2020
Third Am. Compl. filed: 10/23/2020
Fourth Am. Compl. filed: 02/01/2022

Trial Date: 06/02/2025

Special Verdict Form

We answer the questions submitted to us as follows:

1. Did Plaintiffs own cellular data as property?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If your answer to question 1 is yes, then answer question 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

2. Did Google substantially interfere with Plaintiffs' property by knowingly or intentionally taking possession of or preventing Plaintiffs from having access to their cellular data?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If your answer to question 2 is yes, then answer question 3. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

3. Did Plaintiffs consent?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If your answer to question 3 is no, then answer question 4. If you answered yes, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

4. Were Plaintiffs harmed?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If your answer to question 4 is yes, then answer question 5. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

5. Was Google's conduct a substantial factor in causing Plaintiffs' harm?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If your answer to question 5 is yes, then answer question 6. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror sign and date this form.

6. What are Plaintiffs' damages?

TOTAL \$ 314,626,932

